17th March 1923]

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The ḿamul wet survey operations in the Trichinopoly district were begun in April 1914 and completed in July 1916, while those in the Tanjore district which were begun in May 1915 were postponed pending the sanction of the Cauvery-Mettur Reservoir project.

(*c*) The Government have no information.

Number of posts in Imperial services open to Provincial services.

905 *Q.*—Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar : Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to furnish a statement showing the number of superior posts in the Indian Civil Service and other Imperial services and the number of such posts listed as open to the Provincial services on the 1st of January 1923 ?

A.—The Imperial Services in which superior posts are definitely listed as open to members of the Provincial Services are—

	Number of superior posts.	Number listed.
Indian Civil Service	96	11
Indian Police	40	4
Indian Forest Service	61	7

In the other services the methods of recruitment are such that no question of listing arises.

Sriman Biswanath Das Mahasayo :—" May I know the distinction or distinctions between superior and inferior posts in this All-India service ? "

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Habib-ul-lah Sahib Bahadur :—" Distinction in what respect, may I know ? "

Sriman Biswanath Das Mahasayo :—" In respect of pay or any other distinction which I do not know."

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Habib-ul-lah Sahib Bahadur :—" So far as my memory at least, goes there is a difference in pay of at least Rs. 1,000."

Sriman Biswanath Das Mahasayo :—" If there is any proportion between the superior and inferior services, I should like to know."

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Habib-ul-lah Sahib Bahadur :—" I do not remember the proportion exactly at the present moment."

Appointments and dismissals in the Registration department.

906 *Q.*—Khan Sahib A. P. I. Saiyid Ibrahim Ravuttar : Will the hon. the Minister for Education (Registration) be pleased to state—

(*a*) the amount of travelling allowances drawn by the present Inspector-General of Registration in 1922 and 1923 as compared with that of the previous Inspector-General in the years 1920 and 1921 ;

(*b*) the number of sub-registrars, clerks and peons dismissed by the present Inspector-General in the years 1922 and 1923 by way of retrenchment or otherwise ;

[17th March 1923

(c) in how many cases appeals were preferred to (1) the hon. the Minister for Education and (2) to His Excellency the Governor of Madras;

(d) the number of sub-registrars and clerks reinstated as a result of appeal;

(e) the number of Brahmans, non-Brahmans, Muhammadans and Christians appointed by the Inspector-General as sub-registrars, probationary sub-registrars, clerks and peons after he assumed charge of the office; and

(f) the powers delegated by the Government to the district registrars regarding the appointment of clerks and menials withheld by the Inspector-General?

A.—(a) & (b) Government have no information.

(c) Three sub-registrars, one probationary sub-registrar and three clerks appealed against the order of the Inspector-General. Of these the memorial submitted by one of the clerks was addressed to His Excellency the Governor in Council.

(d) The order of dismissal passed in the case of one of the clerks was commuted into one of suspension for a year.

(e) The hon. Member is referred to the answer to question No. 891.

(f) The hon. Member is referred to orders Nos. 85, 86 and 107 (b) in Part II of the Registration Manual.

Separate establishment for C.I.D. Inspectors at Madras.

907 *Q.*—Khan Sahib A. P. I. SAIYID IBRAHIM RAVUTTAR: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether any of the C.I.D. Inspectors does work in the City for which a separate establishment is sanctioned; and

(b) whether the duplication of the work can be avoided and savings effected in this direction?

A.—(a) C.I.D. Inspectors only work on City cases on very rare occasions at the request of the Commissioner of Police.

(b) There is no duplication of work.

II

MOTIONS ON THE BUDGET FOR 1923-24.

DEMAND XIV—POLICE—*cont.*

MOTION No. 141.

Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO PANTULU:—" I beg to move—

To omit the allotment of Rs. 76,884 for the East Coast Special Force.

Sir, the details of this provision appear on page 110 of the Budget. It will be found that provision has been made in the Budget for 1923–24 for two Subadars, five Jamadars, two Havildars Majors, eight Havildars, one Havildar Major Armourer, ten Naiks, and 200 Constables—in all for 228 officers. It appears to be a permanent addition to the police force which will be brought into effect in the next year. In the course of the discussion on the last motion regarding the Armed Reserve for Salem and North Arcot, it was pointed out by the hon. the Law Member, as also by Sir P. Tyagaraya Chettiyar, that they might be allowed to stand for one year